Yong Baek Kim University of Toronto

CIFAR "Spring" School, May 5, 2010







Emergent Quantum Phases in Frustrated Magnets

Yong Baek Kim University of Toronto

CIFAR "Spring" School, May 5, 2010







Emergent Quantum Phases

What are good places to look for "Emergent Behavior" of correlated many-body system ?

Competing interactions No separation of energy scales Competing phases almost degenerate in energy

Many-Body Frustration

Emergent Quantum Phases

What are good places to look for "Emergent Behavior" of correlated many-body system ?

Competing interactions No separation of energy scales Competing phases almost degenerate in energy

Many-Body Frustration

Quantum fluctuations delicately lift the many-body degeneracy -Possible Emergence of New Phases

Fluctuation leads to Novel Phases

Emergent Quantum Phases

What are good places to look for "Emergent Behavior" of correlated many-body system ?

Competing interactions No separation of energy scales Competing phases almost degenerate in energy

Many-Body Frustration

Quantum fluctuations delicately lift the many-body degeneracy -Possible Emergence of New Phases

Fluctuation leads to Novel Phases

Inherently Strong Coupling Problems !

Outline

I. Classical and Quantum Theory of Frustrated Magnets

2. Novel Magnetic Order in Diamond Lattice Spinel Quantum Order by Disorder

3. Spin Liquid on Hyper-Kagome Lattice $Na_4Ir_3O_8$ Disorder by Disorder

Introduction to Frustrated Magnets

Geometric Frustration:

The arrangement of spins on a lattice precludes (fully) satisfying all interactions at the same time

Large degeneracy of the (classical) ground state manifold



Consequence:

No energy scale of its own; any perturbation is strong Mother of the many conventional and exotic phases

 $\sim e^{\alpha N}$

Origin of Classical Ground State Degeneracy

Classical nearest-neighbor antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model on lattices with corner-sharing simplexes (simplex = triangle, tetrahedron)

$$H = J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j = \frac{J}{2} \sum_{\text{simplex}} \left(\sum_{i \ \epsilon \ \text{simplex}} \mathbf{S}_i \right)^2$$

 \mathbf{S}_i is a vector with a fixed length



Origin of Classical Ground State Degeneracy

Classical nearest-neighbor antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model on lattices with corner-sharing simplexes (simplex = triangle, tetrahedron)

$$H = J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j = \frac{J}{2} \sum_{\text{simplex}} \left(\sum_{i \ \epsilon \ \text{simplex}} \mathbf{S}_i \right)^2$$

 S_i is a vector with a fixed length

Classical ground state should satisfy $\sum_{i \in \text{simplex}} \mathbf{S}_i = 0$

These constraints are not independent; counting is subtle

Nonetheless there exists macroscopic degeneracy

Introduction to Frustrated Magnets

Susceptibility 'fingerprint':



Θ_{CW}: Curie-Weiss temperature;
 Mean-field Ordering Temperature;
 interaction energy scale

$$\chi \sim \frac{1}{T - \Theta_{\rm CW}} \qquad T \gg |\Theta_{\rm CW}|$$

 $f = \frac{|\Theta_{\rm CW}|}{T_F} ~~ {\rm useful~diagnostic} \label{eq:f_cw}$ of frustration

 $f \gg 1 \quad {\rm strong} \ {\rm frustration}$

Introduction to Frustrated Magnets

Susceptibility 'fingerprint':



Θ_{CW}: Curie-Weiss temperature;
 Mean-field Ordering Temperature;
 interaction energy scale

$$\chi \sim \frac{1}{T - \Theta_{\rm CW}} \qquad T \gg |\Theta_{\rm CW}|$$

 $f = \frac{|\Theta_{\rm CW}|}{T_F} ~~ {\rm useful~diagnostic} ~~ \label{eq:f_cw}$

 $f \gg 1 \quad {\rm strong} \ {\rm frustration}$

$T < T_F$

Cooperative paramagnet: correlations remain weak more universal

 $T_F < T < |\Theta_{\rm CW}|$

Magnetically ordered ? Spin liquid ? Glassy ? not universal

Order by Disorder via Thermal Fluctuations:

Different entropic weighting to each ground state

Softer the fluctuations around a particular ground state, more likely this ground state will be entropically favored.

Order by Disorder via Thermal Fluctuations:

Different entropic weighting to each ground state

Softer the fluctuations around a particular ground state, more likely this ground state will be entropically favored.

Classical Heisenberg Model on the Kagome lattice

Consider co-planar states





Order by Disorder via Thermal Fluctuations:

Different entropic weighting to each ground state

Softer the fluctuations around a particular ground state, more likely this ground state will be entropically favored.

Classical Heisenberg Model on the Kagome lattice



Order by Disorder via Thermal Fluctuations:

Different entropic weighting to each ground state

Softer the fluctuations around a particular ground state, more likely this ground state will be entropically favored.

Classical Heisenberg Model on the Kagome lattice



Non-planar states can be generated by continuous distortions of a planar state

Weathervane loop

Planar ground states have more soft modes (introduction of 'defect' removes certain soft modes)



Different entropic weighting to each ground state

Softer the fluctuations around a particular ground state, more likely this ground state will be entropically favored.

Classical Heisenberg Model on the Kagome lattice



Softer fluctuations for $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$: favored as $T \to 0$

Order by Disorder via **Quantum Fluctuations**:

Quantum zero point energy and further quantum fluctuations may select an ordered ground state.

Order by Disorder via Quantum Fluctuations:

Quantum zero point energy and further quantum fluctuations may select an ordered ground state.

Disorder by Disorder

Sufficiently strong quantum fluctuations (S=1/2 for example), however, may destabilize any ordered phase; possible quantum spin liquid - Disorder by Disorder

Order by Disorder via Thermal/Quantum Fluctuations

Nove Magnetic³ Order and/or Valence Bond Solid States



Néel ordered state Order parameter is a single vector field $\vec{\varphi} = \eta_i \vec{S}_i$ Non-collinear ordered antiferromagnet $\eta_i = \pm 1$ on two sublattices η_i

Quantum Fluctuations

Antiferromagnetic Heisenberg Model

$$H = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} J \ \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j \quad (J \propto t^2/U)$$

Controlling quantum fluctuations - changing S of spin Large-S - Magnetically Ordered Small-S - Quantum Disordered

Quantum Fluctuations

Square lattice

Large-S - Neel Order



Small-S - Valence Bond Solid (VBS)

Translational symmetry is broken



Valence Bond Solid (VBS)



Largest number of singlet pairs can resonate

Breakup and Separation



Spinons are 'confined' by a linearly confining potential

Frustrated Lattices

Increasing frustration in the large-S or semiclassical limit



Frustrated Lattices

Increasing frustration in the large-S or semiclassical limit



Geometric frustration + quantum fluct. in the small-S limit \Rightarrow Suppression of magnetic long range order

Frustrated Lattices

Increasing frustration in the large-S or semiclassical limit



Geometric frustration + quantum fluct. in the small-S limit \Rightarrow Suppression of magnetic long range order

RVB (resonating valence bond) state on frustrated lattices

$$|RVB\rangle = \sum_{vb} A_{vb} |vb\rangle \qquad |vb\rangle =$$

1

Breakup and Separation



Spinons are 'deconfined'

Spinons: Q=0, S=1/2 excitations

Quantum Phases of Frustrated Mott Insulator



Spin Liquids come in Many Varieties



Short-Range Valence Bond Excitations with a Gap



Long-Range Valence Bond Gapless Excitations

Topological Order ?



$$|RVB\rangle_{\rm odd} = \sum_{\rm odd} A_{vb}^{\rm odd} |vb\rangle_{\rm odd}$$

 $|vb
angle_{
m even}$ and $|vb
angle_{
m odd}$ describe TWO topologically distinct valence bond coverings





intersecting odd number of dimers

Recall the "cartoon" of the RVB state

Global property unaffected by local dynamics



Red line intersects an even number of bonds

Global property unaffected by local dynamics



Red line intersects an even number of bonds

Global property unaffected by local dynamics



Red line intersects an even number of bonds Two quantum states: $|even
angle, \; |odd
angle$

Topological Order ?



$$|RVB\rangle_{\rm odd} = \sum_{\rm odd} A_{vb}^{\rm odd} |vb\rangle_{\rm odd}$$

 $|vb\rangle_{\rm even}$ and $|vb\rangle_{\rm odd}$ describe TWO topologically distinct valence bond coverings



On the torus, there are FOUR topological sectors

(even,even), (even,odd), (odd,even), (odd,odd)

"A quantum system having particles with "topological" character would be automatically protected against errors caused by local disturbances"

Alexei Kitaev (1995)

Resonating Valence Bond: Simplest quantum state with "topological" particles!



More exotic topological states

Quantum states supporting particles with

"Non Abelian particles"

Braid 1 and 2



Multiple braids:

 $(1 \leftrightarrow 2, 2 \leftrightarrow 3) \neq (2 \leftrightarrow 3, 1 \leftrightarrow 2)$

Order of braids matters!







Quantum Order by Disorder: Frustrated Diamond Lattice Spinel Antiferromagnet

Frustrated Diamond Spinel Antiferromagnets



Spinel Structure AB_2X_4

A-site (Yellow) - diamond lattice B-site (Blue) - pyrochlore lattice X-site - vertices

Our interest: Spinel compounds with magnetic A-sites only



Frustrated Diamond Spinel Antiferromagnets



Spinel Structure AB_2X_4

A-site (Yellow) - diamond lattice B-site (Blue) - pyrochlore lattice X-site - vertices

Our interest: Spinel compounds with magnetic A-sites only



Heisenberg Model on the Diamond Lattice

$$H = J_1 \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + J_2 \sum_{\langle \langle ij \rangle \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j$$



J₁ Not frustrated favors Neel ordering

 J_2 Frustrated !

Heisenberg Model on the Diamond Lattice

$$H = J_1 \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + J_2 \sum_{\langle \langle ij \rangle \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j$$





Frustrated!

Heisenberg Model on the Diamond Lattice

$$H = J_1 \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + J_2 \sum_{\langle \langle ij \rangle \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j$$



 J_1 Not frustrated

favors Neel ordering

 J_2 Frustrated !

Classical Heisenberg Model

Highly degenerate coplanar spiral states for $J_2/J_1 > 1/8$ at T=0



Surface of equal energy in momentum space



 $p(\mp \frac{i}{2}(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i - \frac{1}{2}\theta(\mathbf{k}))) \cdot 2$ $\mp \frac{i}{2}(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i + \frac{1}{2}\theta(\mathbf{k}))), \text{ sphere:}$ $1/8 < J_2/J_1 < 1/4$





Punctured deformed sphere: 1/1 > 1/4

 $J_2/J_1 > 1/4$

 $J_2/J_1 = 0.6$

J₂/J₁=0.85

Quantum Order by Disorder

Expand the ground state energy in $1/\kappa$

$$E = E_c + \frac{1}{\kappa} E^1 + \dots \qquad \qquad \kappa = ``2S'' \quad \mbox{controls quantum} fluctuations$$

- E_c Classical energy
- E^1 quantum zero-point fluctuation energy lifts the ground state degeneracy

Represent the resulting energy change/difference



Phase Diagram



Finite Temperature Large-N Theory and Specific Heat



(k,k,0) ordering works remarkably well !

Disorder by Disorder: Spin Liquid on Hyper-Kagome Lattice

Search for Quantum Spin Liquid (S=1/2)

$$\kappa$$
-(BEDT-TTF)₂Cu₂(CN)₃

K. Kanoda

Triangular Lattice; near Mott transition

Herbertsmithite "Ideal" Kagome lattice

 $ZnCu_3(OH)_6Cl_2$ D. G. Nocera, Y. S. Lee



Volborthite Distorted Kagome lattice $Cu_3V_2O_7(OH)_2$. $2H_2O$ Z. Hiori





Three-dimensional S=1/2 Frustrated Magnet

 $Na_4Ir_3O_8$ has a Hyper-Kagome sublattice of Ir ions



$Ir^{4+}(5d^5)$ carries "S=1/2" moment ?

Y. Okamoto, M. Nohara, H. Agura-Katrori, and H. Takagi, PRL 99, 137207 (2007)

Inverse Spin Susceptibility; Strong Spin Frustration

Y. Okamoto, M. Nohara, H. Agura-Katrori, and H. Takagi, PRL 99, 137207 (2007)



Curie-Weiss fit $\Theta_{\rm CW} = -650K$

No magnetic ordering down to $|\Theta_{\rm CW}|/300$

Large Window of Cooperative Paramagnet



Strong Frustration - Macroscopic degeneracy of classical ground states

Specific Heat; Low Energy Excitations ?

Y. Okamoto, M. Nohara, H. Agura-Katrori, and H. Takagi, PRL 99, 137207 (2007)



No Magnetic Ordering

Gapless Excitations in an Insulator ?

Field-independent up to I2T

Is the T=0 Ground State a Spin Liquid ?

Fermionic representation of the spin operator $\alpha, \beta = \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$

 $ec{S}_i = rac{1}{2} f^\dagger_{ilpha} ec{\sigma}_{lphaeta} f_{ieta}$ with the constraint

$$\sum_{\alpha} f_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} f_{i\alpha} = 1$$

Fermionic representation of the spin operator $\alpha, \beta = \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$

$$\vec{S}_i = \frac{1}{2} f_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} f_{i\beta}$$
 with the constraint $\sum_{\alpha} f_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} f_{i\alpha} = 1$
 $\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$ fermion-fermion interaction

Fermionic representation of the spin operator $\alpha, \beta = \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$

$$\vec{S}_i = \frac{1}{2} f_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} f_{i\beta}$$
 with the constraint $\sum_{\alpha} f_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} f_{i\alpha} = 1$
 $\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$ fermion-fermion interaction

Mean-Field Theory

 $\chi_{ij} = \langle f_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} f_{j\alpha} \rangle$ fermion "kinetic" energy dynamically generated $\Delta_{ij} = \langle \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} f_{i\alpha} f_{j\beta} \rangle$ possible pairing correlation

The constraint is ONLY imposed on average !

Fermionic representation of the spin operator $\alpha, \beta = \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$

$$\vec{S}_i = \frac{1}{2} f_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} f_{i\beta}$$
 with the constraint $\sum_{\alpha} f_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} f_{i\alpha} = 1$
 $\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$ fermion-fermion interaction

Mean-Field Theory

 $\chi_{ij} = \langle f_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} f_{j\alpha} \rangle$ fermion "kinetic" energy dynamically generated $\Delta_{ij} = \langle \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} f_{i\alpha} f_{j\beta} \rangle$ possible pairing correlation

The constraint is ONLY imposed on average !

Projected Wave Function Approach

 $\Psi = P_G \Psi_{MF}$

Impose the constraint exactly

Project out unphysical Hilbert space in the mean-field ground states

Fermionic representation of the spin operator $\alpha, \beta = \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$

 $\vec{S}_i = rac{1}{2} f^{\dagger}_{i\alpha} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} f_{i\beta}$ with the constraint

$$\sum_{\alpha} f_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} f_{i\alpha} = 1$$

In the spin liquid phases, the fermionic spinons are liberated (deconfined) and become emergent excitations

MEAN FEILD THEORY + PROJECTION

The lowest energy state has uniform χ_{ij} and $\Delta_{ij}=0$

The resulting spin liquid has a "spinon Fermi surface"



MEAN FEILD THEORY + PROJECTION

The lowest energy state has uniform χ_{ij} and $\Delta_{ij} = 0$

The resulting spin liquid has a "spinon Fermi surface"



Theory of Spin Liquid with "Spinon Fermi Surface"



Re-normalized Mean Field Theory with J = 304K

C/T looks linear for 5K < T < 20K

The spin liquid with a "spinon Fermi surface" is the ground state of the nearest-neighbor Heisenberg model

At low temperatures, other perturbations may select one of the competing phases as the ground state

If it happens, the resulting phase may be understood as a Fermi surface instability of the "spinon Fermi surface" of the spin liquid

(just like in metals, where magnetic ordering or superconductivity can be understood as an instability of the "electron Fermi surface")

"Spinon Fermi-liquid" Theory of Correlated Insulators ?

Neel Order v.s. Spin Liquid



Strasbourg meeting in 1939



Louis Neel

Lev Landau

Landau: Quantum Fluctuations will destroy Neel order

e.g. ID antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model - Spin Liquid with S=1/2 spinons

... leads to his skepticism about the usefulness of quantum mechanics; this was one of the few limitations of this superior mind. (Jacques Friedel, Physics Today)